MAINTENANCE MANAGEMENT

A Comprehensive Guide to Autonomous Maintenance (AM): Implementing the 7-Step TPM Framework for Operational Excellence

Published: January 18, 2025 | Index ID: #152

In the modern industrial landscape, the pursuit of operational excellence is no longer a luxury but a fundamental necessity for survival. At the heart of this pursuit lies **Total Productive Maintenance (TPM)**, a holistic approach to equipment maintenance that strives to achieve perfect production: no breakdowns, no small stops or slow running, no defects, and no accidents. Central to the TPM philosophy is the pillar of **Autonomous Maintenance (AM)**, or *Jishu Hozen*. Based on the seminal work of Fumio Gotoh and Masaji Tajiri, Autonomous Maintenance empowers machine operators to take ownership of their equipment, moving beyond the traditional silos of "I operate, you fix."

Understanding the Theoretical Framework of Autonomous Maintenance

Autonomous Maintenance is the second of the eight pillars of TPM. Its primary objective is to develop operators who are capable of maintaining their equipment independently of the maintenance department for routine tasks. This transition is critical because operators are the first line of defense against equipment deterioration. They are in constant contact with the machinery and are best positioned to detect early warning signs of failure, such as unusual vibrations, odors, or heat.

The Six Big Losses and OEE

To understand why AM is vital, one must look at the **Six Big Losses** that diminish **Overall Equipment Effectiveness (OEE)**. AM specifically targets these inefficiencies by ensuring equipment is kept in its "ideal state."

- Availability Losses: Equipment failure/breakdowns and setup/adjustments.
- Performance Losses: Idling/minor stoppages and reduced speed.
- Quality Losses: Process defects and reduced yield.

The mathematical representation of OEE is a key metric in AM implementation:

$$OEE = Availability \times Performance \times Quality$$

By implementing the seven steps of AM, organizations systematically eliminate these losses, driving OEE toward the theoretical maximum of 100%.

The Core Mechanics: Why Seven Steps?

The implementation of Autonomous Maintenance is not an overnight transformation. It is a structured, step-by-step psychological and technical journey. The **Seven Steps** formulated by Gotoh and Tajiri provide a roadmap that gradually builds the operator's skill set and confidence while stabilizing the equipment's condition. Skipping steps often leads to a "rebound" effect where equipment conditions deteriorate back to their original state because the underlying culture and standards were not solidified.

Comparison: Traditional Maintenance vs. Autonomous Maintenance

The following table illustrates the shift in responsibilities and focus between traditional maintenance models and the AM-driven TPM model:

Feature	Traditional Maintenance	Autonomous Maintenance (TPM)
Operator Role	Production only; ignores minor issues.	Equipment ownership; performs daily checks.
Maintenance Dept. Role	Emergency repairs and routine PM.	Specialized repairs, overhauls, and training.
Equipment Knowledge	Limited to operation buttons.	Deep understanding of mechanics and failure modes.
Maintenance Goal	Reactionary: Fix it when it breaks.	Proactive: Prevent deterioration at the source.
Culture	Siloed ("Not my job").	Collaborative ("Our machine").

Technical Breakdown of the Seven Steps

Step 1: Initial Cleaning (Cleaning is Inspection)

The journey begins with **Initial Cleaning**. This is not merely an aesthetic exercise; it is a deep-dive inspection. Operators, supported by maintenance staff, clean the equipment thoroughly to expose hidden defects (*Fuguai*). By removing layers of oil, dust, and grime, operators often find loose bolts, cracked covers, or leaking seals that were previously invisible. This step fosters an emotional bond between the operator and the machine.

Step 2: Countermeasures at the Source

Once the equipment is clean, the focus shifts to keeping it that way. **Step 2** involves identifying the sources of contamination (dust, leaks, metal shavings) and "difficult-to-access" areas. If a machine requires two hours to clean because oil leaks into a crevice, the goal is to either fix the leak (source) or modify the machine casing (access) to reduce cleaning time. This is where **Kaizen** (continuous improvement) is first heavily applied.

Step 3: Cleaning and Lubrication Standards

Without standards, the gains of the first two steps will vanish. Operators and maintenance staff collaborate to create **tentative standards** for cleaning, lubrication, and tightening. These standards must be visual and easy to follow, specifying "what" to check, "how" to check, and "how long" it should take. The goal is to establish a routine that fits within the daily production schedule.

Step 4: General Inspection

This is the most technically intensive phase. In **Step 4**, operators undergo rigorous training to understand the functions and structures of their equipment. They learn about hydraulics, pneumatics, electrical systems, and drive mechanisms. This "knowledge transfer" enables operators to perform higher-level inspections that were previously the sole domain of maintenance technicians.

Step 5: Autonomous Inspection

In this phase, the tentative standards from Step 3 are refined using the technical knowledge gained in Step 4. The operators now conduct **Autonomous Inspections** using their own finalized checklists. At this stage, the maintenance department's role shifts from "firefighting" to auditing and supporting complex technical improvements.

Step 6: Workplace Organization (Standardization)

Step 6 expands the scope from the machine to the entire workspace. It integrates **5S** principles—Sort, Set in Order, Shine, Standardize, and Sustain. This step focuses on optimizing the flow of tools, spare parts, and materials to minimize waste (*Muda*) and ensure that the environment supports sustained equipment health.

Step 7: All-out Autonomous Management

The final step is the realization of a self-sustaining culture. Operators are now fully autonomous, capable of performing advanced troubleshooting, managing their own KPIs (Key Performance Indicators), and leading Kaizen circles. Maintenance activities are fully integrated into the daily life of the shop floor.

Implementation Matrix and Step Audits

To ensure progress is genuine, each step must be audited before the team proceeds to the next. The following table summarizes the audit focus for the first four critical steps:

Step	Focus Area	Audit Success Criteria
1	Defect Tagging	Are all Fuguai (defects) identified and tagged? Is the machine visibly clean?
2	Source Control	Have sources of grime been eliminated or contained? Are cleaning times reduced?
3	Standards	Are standards visual? Can the operator explain the 'Why' behind each check?
4	Technical Skill	Can operators identify components and explain their function? Are inspections accurate?

Practical Field Guide: Overcoming Common Challenges

Implementing Autonomous Maintenance is fraught with cultural and operational hurdles. As a Senior Technical Writer and Strategist, I have identified three primary failure modes and their solutions:

1. Lack of Management Commitment

If management views AM as a "flavor of the month" or fails to allocate time for cleaning and training, the initiative will fail. **Solution:**Establish a steering committee and treat AM time as "scheduled downtime" for OEE calculations. Productivity might take a slight hit initially, but the long-term gains in reliability far outweigh the short-term loss.

2. The "Not My Job" Syndrome

Operators may resist, feeling they are being asked to do maintenance work without extra pay. **Solution:**Reframe the narrative. AM is about making the operator's life easier by reducing breakdowns and frustrating "nuisance stops." Focus on skill development and career progression.

3. Standard Decay

Standards are often created and then ignored. **Solution:** Use **Visual Management**. Instead of a 50-page manual, use one-point lessons (OPLs) and color-coded gauges. If a pressure gauge has a green zone and a red zone, any operator can tell if the machine is healthy at a glance.

Case Study: Impact of Step 4 (General Inspection) on a Packaging Line

A multinational FMCG company implemented Step 4 on a high-speed bottling line. Previously, the line experienced 14 minor stoppages per shift due to sensor misalignment caused by vibration. Maintenance was called every time, leading to 45 minutes of downtime per shift. After General Inspection training, operators understood the mounting mechanics of the sensors. They designed a vibration-dampening bracket and took over the daily calibration. **Result:** Minor stoppages dropped to zero, and the maintenance team saved 225 hours per year on that single line, allowing them to focus on a major energy-saving retrofit project.

Broader Implications for Industry 4.0

As we move toward **Industry 4.0**, the role of Autonomous Maintenance is evolving, not disappearing. While sensors and AI-driven predictive maintenance provide data, the human element remains the final decision-maker

on the shop floor. AM provides the foundational discipline required to manage high-tech assets. An operator who understands the 7 steps is uniquely qualified to interpret diagnostic data from an IoT dashboard and take immediate, corrective action before a failure occurs.

Ultimately, the 7-step approach to Autonomous Maintenance transforms the shop floor from a reactive environment into a proactive, data-driven ecosystem. It bridges the gap between human intuition and mechanical precision, creating a culture of continuous improvement that is the hallmark of world-class manufacturing. By systematically moving through the stages—from initial cleaning to full autonomous management—organizations build a resilient workforce and a robust production system capable of thriving in an increasingly competitive global market.

Tags: #TPM #Autonomous Maintenance #OEE #Lean Manufacturing #Six Big Losses #Fumio Gotoh