

A Comprehensive Corpus-Based Analysis of English Prepositions: Mastering 'In,' 'On,' and 'At' Through Linguistic Data

Published: February 24, 2025 | Index ID: #14

The acquisition of English prepositions represents one of the most significant hurdles for both English as a Second Language (ESL) and English as a Foreign Language (EFL) learners. Historically, prepositions such as **in**, **on**, and **at** have been viewed as arbitrary or idiosyncratic. However, modern linguistic research, particularly through **Corpus-Based Studies**, has revealed that these spatial and temporal markers follow rigorous systematic patterns and cognitive frameworks. By leveraging large-scale datasets or 'corpora,' researchers like Arjan et al. (2013) and Paz (2020) have decoded the developmental patterns and syntactic behaviors that govern how these small but powerful words function in communication.

Theoretical Framework of Corpus Linguistics in Prepositional Analysis

Corpus linguistics is a methodology that involves the analysis of large, structured collections of texts (corpora) to identify patterns of language use. Unlike traditional prescriptive grammar, which dictates how language *should* be used, corpus linguistics provides an empirical description of how language *is* used in real-world contexts. This approach is vital for understanding prepositions because their meanings are often highly polysemous—meaning one word has many related senses.

The Prototype Theory and Spatial Cognition

Linguistic studies often apply **Prototype Theory** to prepositions. This theory suggests that each preposition has a 'prototypical' or central meaning, usually rooted in physical space, from which more abstract meanings are derived. For instance, the prototypical sense of **in** involves 2D or 3D containment. When we move from spatial usage (*in the box*) to temporal usage (*in the morning*), we are applying a cognitive metaphor where time is conceptualized as a container. Technical corpus analysis allows researchers to map these semantic extensions and identify where learners deviate from native-speaker norms.

Quantitative Metrics in Corpus Studies

To analyze prepositional mastery, researchers utilize several mathematical models to quantify usage accuracy and frequency. The most common metric is the **Relative Frequency (RF)**, which allows for the comparison of prepositional use across corpora of different sizes:

$$RF = (\text{Raw Frequency of Preposition} / \text{Total Number of Tokens in Corpus}) \times 1,000,000$$

This normalization process is essential for identifying whether a learner is 'overusing' or 'underusing' a specific preposition relative to a baseline, such as the **British National Corpus (BNC)** or the **Corpus of Contemporary American English (COCA)**.

Technical Analysis of Prepositions of Place: In, On, and At

According to the research by Arjan (2013) and Harianti (2020), the mastery of prepositions of place requires an understanding of the geometric properties of the reference object. This is often categorized into **0D (Point)**, **1D/2D**

(Line/Surface), and 3D (Container) dimensions.

1. The Geometry of 'At' (The Point)

Technical linguistic analysis defines **at** as a marker of a specific point in space. It treats the destination or location as a dimensionless coordinate. In a corpus study of student essays, **at** often shows the highest error rate because it requires the speaker to conceptualize a location without regard to its physical interior or surface. For example, *at the station* treats the station as a functional node on a map rather than a building with rooms.

2. The Geometry of 'On' (The Surface)

The preposition **on** implies a relationship of support and contact. In syntactic behavior analysis, **on** is used when the reference object is conceptualized as a line (*on the border*) or a surface (*on the table*). Corpus data suggests that learners often struggle with **on** when the physical contact is not immediately obvious, such as in media contexts (*on the radio, on the internet*).

3. The Geometry of 'In' (The Container)

In signifies containment. The reference object must have boundaries that enclose the subject. This can be a physical 3D space (*in the car*) or a defined 2D area (*in the field*). Research into the developmental patterns of Form 4 and Form 5 students (Arjan, 2013) shows that **in** is typically the first preposition mastered because its physical boundary concept is cognitively more accessible than the abstract point concept of **at**.

Comparison Matrix: Spatial and Temporal Dimensions

The following table illustrates the technical differences between these prepositions across two primary domains, as analyzed in recent corpus-based investigations.

Preposition	Spatial Dimension (Place)	Temporal Dimension (Time)	Cognitive Concept
At	Specific point/Coordinate (e.g., at the corner)	Precise time/Clock time (e.g., at 5 PM)	Target/Point
On	Surface/Line contact (e.g., on the wall)	Days and Dates (e.g., on Monday)	Support/Attachment
In	Enclosure/Volume (e.g., in the room)	Non-specific periods/ Months/Years (e.g., in 2024)	Containment

Syntactic Behavior and Error Analysis

The work of Harianti (2020) explores the **Syntactic Behavior** of prepositions, focusing on how they interact with noun phrases. Prepositions do not exist in isolation; they function as the head of a **Prepositional Phrase (PP)**. The structure is typically PP !' P + NP (Preposition + Noun Phrase).

Common Error Patterns in Learner Corpora

Based on the analysis of argumentative essays and student writing samples (Paz, 2020; Arjan, 2013), four primary error types emerge:

- Substitution: Using 'on' instead of 'in' (e.g., *on the room). This often occurs due to L1 (First Language) interference where the learner's native language uses a single preposition for both surface and containment.
- Omission: Leaving the preposition out entirely (e.g., *I stay Manila).
- Insertion: Adding a preposition where none is required (e.g., *We discussed about the problem).
- Mis-collocation: Using a preposition that does not traditionally pair with the following noun or preceding verb (e.g., *Independent from instead of independent of).

The Developmental Pattern (Norwati, 2004)

Corpus research indicates a specific developmental trajectory in the acquisition of these terms. Learners do not acquire all prepositions simultaneously. The hierarchy typically follows this order:

1. Concrete Spatial 'In': Early mastery due to clear physical boundaries.
2. Concrete Spatial 'On': Follows shortly after as surface contact is observable.
3. Temporal 'In' and 'On': Mastery moves from the physical to the metaphorical.
4. Abstract 'At': This is often the final stage of mastery because 'at' requires a high level of cognitive abstraction.

Case Study: Prepositional Usage Among Filipino Students (Paz, 2020)

In a 2020 study by RM Paz, a corpus of written essays was used to describe how Filipino students utilize prepositions of time and location. The findings revealed that while students had high accuracy in prototypical uses (e.g., *in the city*), they struggled with 'at' for location when the location was large or non-specific. This suggests that the **Interlanguage**(the version of English spoken by the learner) is heavily influenced by the spatial categories present in the Tagalog language, which differs in its categorization of points versus volumes.

Formula for Error Gravity

To evaluate the impact of these errors, technical writers and educators use an **Error Gravity Scale**. This helps prioritize which prepositional rules to teach first based on how much the error interferes with communication:

Error Impact = (Frequency of Error) × (Degree of Semantic Ambiguity Created)

Errors with **at** often score higher on this scale because substituting **at** with **in** can fundamentally change the meaning of a sentence (e.g., *at the school* vs. *in the school*).

Field Guide: Implementing Corpus Tools for Mastery

For technical writers and educators, moving beyond textbooks to corpus tools can drastically improve prepositional accuracy. Below is a procedural guide for using a corpus to verify prepositional usage.

Step-by-Step Procedure for Corpus Verification

1. Access a Large Scale Corpus: Use platforms like English-Corpora.org to access COCA or the BNC.
2. Perform a KWIC Search: Enter the Keyword in Context (KWIC). For example, if unsure whether to use 'in' or 'at' with 'conference,' search for both "at the conference" and "in the conference".
3. Analyze Collocation Strength: Look for the Mutual Information (MI) Score. A higher MI score between the preposition and the noun indicates a stronger, more natural linguistic bond.
4. Observe Distribution: Check if the usage varies between Academic, Fiction, and Spoken registers. Corpus data shows that **at** is more frequent in spoken registers for functional locations.

Syntactic Variations and Complement Selection

Technical linguistic analysis, such as that by Aisyah (2022) and Harianti (2020), focuses on the **Syntactic Behavior** variations. Prepositions can serve multiple syntactic functions within a sentence:

- Adverbial Function: Modifying the verb (e.g., The study was conducted in 2013).
- Adjectival Function: Modifying the noun (e.g., The book on the table is mine).
- Complement of a Verb: Where the preposition is required by the verb (e.g., To depend on).

Corpus data highlights that learners often fail to distinguish between these functions, leading to syntactic misalignment. For example, a learner might correctly use **in** as an adverbial of time but fail to use it correctly as a complement of a specific verb-noun collocation.

Troubleshooting Common Prepositional Pitfalls

Scenario	Common Error	Technical Correction	Linguistic Logic
Transportation	In the bus	On the bus	Public transport is conceptualized as a platform (Surface).
Addresses	In 123 Main St	At 123 Main St	Specific addresses are coordinates (Points).
Time Units	In Friday	On Friday	Specific days require the 'On' surface-metaphor.
Visual Media	In the screen	On the screen	Electronic displays are 2D surfaces.

Linguistic Engineering: The Future of Prepositional Pedagogy

The integration of corpus-based findings into **Natural Language Processing (NLP)** and **Automated Writing Evaluation (AWE)** tools represents the future of linguistic engineering. By understanding the developmental patterns identified by researchers like Arjan and Norwati, software developers can build better grammar checkers that provide 'pedagogical feedback' rather than just 'correction.'

Instead of simply flagging **in Monday* as wrong, an advanced system grounded in corpus linguistics can explain that 'Monday' is a temporal surface requiring **on**. This transition from error detection to conceptual explanation is only possible through the deep data analysis provided by corpus-based studies.

The study of English prepositions of place and time is far from a settled matter. As language evolves, so too does the distribution of these terms. Current research emphasizes that mastery is not about memorizing a list of rules, but about understanding the underlying spatial logic of the English language. Through the lens of corpus linguistics, we can see that **in**, **on**, and **at** are not just grammatical glue; they are a sophisticated system for mapping the human experience of space and time onto language. By focusing on developmental patterns and syntactic behavior, educators can provide learners with a more robust framework for navigating the complexities of English prepositions, leading to higher levels of fluency and technical writing precision.

Ultimately, the work of Arjan, Paz, and their contemporaries serves as a reminder that empirical data is the most effective tool for demystifying language. As we continue to refine our corpora and analysis techniques, the path to prepositional mastery becomes clearer, transforming a challenging grammatical category into a manageable and logical component of linguistic expertise.

Baca Juga (Artikel Terkait)

- [Advanced Phonetics and Linguistic Methodologies: A Technical Analysis of University of Essex Pedagogy](http://123caramba.com/advanced-phonetics-linguistic-methodologies-essex.pdf)

URL: <http://123caramba.com/advanced-phonetics-linguistic-methodologies-essex.pdf>

- [The Comprehensive Framework of ESL Mastery: A Technical Analysis of the 7 Secrets for Language Acquisition](http://123caramba.com/7-secrets-for-esl-learners-technical-framework.pdf)

URL: <http://123caramba.com/7-secrets-for-esl-learners-technical-framework.pdf>

- [Mastering Arabic Pedagogy: A Technical Analysis of Al-Kitaab fii Ta'allum al-'Arabiyya Part One](#)

URL: <http://123caramba.com/mastering-arabic-pedagogy-al-kitaab-part-one-analysis.pdf>

• **Comprehensive Mastery of German Linguistic Structures: From Das Perfekt to Complex Syntax and Temporal Prepositions**

URL: <http://123caramba.com/comprehensive-german-linguistic-structures-mastery.pdf>

Tags: **#Corpus Linguistics #English Grammar #Prepositions #Applied Linguistics #Language Acquisition**

