

A Technical Deep Dive into Critical Discourse Analysis and Intertextuality: Theoretical Frameworks and Practical Applications

Published: July 18, 2026 | Index ID: #66

Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA) represents one of the most sophisticated methodologies in the contemporary study of linguistics, sociology, and political science. At its core, CDA seeks to unravel the often-opaque relationships between language, power, and social structure. Central to this endeavor is the concept of **intertextuality**—the notion that no text exists in a vacuum, but is instead a mosaic of quotations, references, and transformations of prior texts. This article provides an exhaustive examination of the intersection between CDA and intertextuality, drawing on the seminal models of Norman Fairclough and others to provide a technical roadmap for researchers and practitioners.

The Theoretical Genesis of Intertextuality in Discourse

To understand the application of intertextuality within **Critical Discourse Analysis**, one must first trace its etymological and theoretical roots. The term was famously coined by Julia Kristeva in the late 1960s, drawing heavily from Mikhail Bakhtin's concept of **dialogism**. Bakhtin argued that all language is inherently responsive, addressed to someone, and shaped by what has been said before. In the context of CDA, this theoretical foundation is operationalized to show how social actors draw upon existing 'orders of discourse' to maintain or challenge hegemony.

In the technical framework of Norman Fairclough, intertextuality is categorized as a vital component of **discourse practice**. While traditional linguistics might focus on the internal grammar of a sentence, CDA looks at the 'intertextual chain'—the process where a political manifesto, for instance, is transformed into a news report, which then becomes a social media debate, and eventually informs public policy. Each link in this chain represents a transformation of meaning that reflects specific ideological interests.

The Fairclough Three-Dimensional Model

One cannot discuss the technicalities of intertextual analysis without referencing the **Fairclough Three-Dimensional Model**. This framework provides a structured approach to analyzing communicative events by looking at three distinct yet interconnected levels:

1. **Text Analysis (Micro-level)**: Focuses on the linguistic features such as vocabulary, grammar, cohesion, and text structure. In terms of intertextuality, this involves identifying direct quotes, citations, and allusions.
2. **Discourse Practice (Meso-level)**: Investigates the processes of text production, distribution, and consumption. This is where intertextuality and interdiscursivity reside. It asks: Which texts are being drawn upon? How are they being reshaped?
3. **Social Practice (Macro-level)**: Analyzes the social and institutional circumstances of the discursive event and the ideological effects. How does the intertextual mixing of a scientific report with a marketing brochure affect social perceptions of climate change?

Intertextuality vs. Interdiscursivity

A common point of confusion in technical literature is the distinction between **manifest intertextuality** and

interdiscursivity(also known as constitutive intertextuality). The following table provides a comparative breakdown of these two critical concepts:

Feature	Manifest Intertextuality	Interdiscursivity (Constitutive)
Definition	The explicit presence of other texts within a specific text.	The mixing of diverse genres, discourses, or styles within a text.

Technical Mechanisms of Intertextual Analysis

When conducting a **Critical Discourse Analysis** of intertextuality, researchers must employ a rigorous set of analytical tools. The process is not merely descriptive; it is explanatory. The goal is to identify the **dialectical relationship** between the language used and the social structure it inhabits.

1. Mapping the Intertextual Chain

In technical environments, such as the network of written genres in Information Technology organizations mentioned in recent research, texts are linked. A software requirements specification (SRS) is linked to a user manual, which is linked to a marketing whitepaper. Analyzing this chain involves tracking how technical constraints are translated into user benefits and then into corporate value propositions. The 'loss' or 'gain' of technical detail across this chain reveals the priorities of the organization.

2. Semantic and Grammatical Transformations

Intertextuality often involves **nominalization**—the process of turning a process (verb) into a noun. For example, the sentence "We are colonizing the planet" becomes "The colonization of the planet" in a subsequent text. This technical shift removes the agency (who is doing the colonizing?) and treats the event as an abstract fact. CDA practitioners look for these shifts to identify how responsibility is obscured in political or corporate discourse.

3. Modality and Truth-Claims

How a text refers to another text often involves **modality**(the use of words like 'may', 'might', 'must', or 'will'). If a news outlet quotes a politician using low-affinity modality ("The minister suggested that... ") versus high-affinity modality ("The minister proved that..."), it indicates an intertextual positioning that signals the outlet's bias or ideological alignment.

The Methodology: Step-by-Step CDA Execution

To produce a robust study on intertextuality within discourse, the following procedural workflow is recommended for senior researchers:

- Step 1: Corpus Selection: Identify a set of texts that represent a specific discursive event (e.g., the three ANC manifestos). Ensure the selection covers different timeframes to observe diachronic change.
- Step 2: Identifying Intertextual Markers: Scan the texts for explicit references to other documents, historical events, or cultural tropes. Use software tools like NVivo or AntConc for automated corpus-wide searches of specific keywords.
- Step 3: Analyzing Interdiscursive Hybrids: Determine if the text mixes genres. For example, does a political speech use the 'preaching' genre or the 'scientific' genre? This mixing often signals an attempt to gain legitimacy from different social fields.
- Step 4: Situating the Text in Social Practice: Map the text back to the power structures of the time. Who has the right to speak? Whose voices are excluded from the intertextual mix?
- Step 5: Evaluating Hegemony: Determine if the intertextual patterns reinforce the status quo or provide 'counter-discourses' that challenge dominant ideologies.

Case Study: Political Manifestos and National Identity

A critical area of study involves the analysis of political manifestos, such as those produced by the African National Congress (ANC). Through **intertextual interrogation**, researchers have discovered how these documents strategically draw on historical liberation scripts. By referencing the "Freedom Charter" of 1955, modern manifestos attempt to borrow the moral authority of the anti-apartheid struggle.

The "Same, Same, but Different" Phenomenon

Research into interdiscursive features shows that while manifestos may change their specific policy promises (the content), the **discursive structure** often remains the same to maintain a sense of institutional continuity. This creates a tension between the need for 'social change' (communicative event) and the 'stability of the order of discourse' (social practice).

Comparison of Approaches to CDA

Different scholars emphasize different aspects of discourse. Choosing the right approach is critical for the technical accuracy of an analysis.

Approach	Key Proponent	Focus Area	Methodological Emphasis
Dialectical-Relational	Norman Fairclough	The relationship between semiosis and other social elements.	Focus on interdiscursivity and the 'orders of discourse'.

Technical Challenges and Troubleshooting in CDA

Conducting an in-depth analysis of intertextuality is fraught with potential pitfalls. Below are common challenges and their technical solutions:

1. The Problem of 'Infinite Intertextuality'

Challenge: Since every text refers to others, where does the analysis stop? **Solution:** Define a clear **analytical boundary** based on the research question. Focus only on 'salient' intertextuality—those references that significantly alter the power dynamic of the text.

2. Subjectivity in Interpretation

Challenge: CDA is often criticized for being too subjective or politically motivated. **Solution:** Use **triangulation**. Combine qualitative discourse analysis with quantitative corpus linguistics. Use inter-coder reliability checks if working in a team to ensure that the identification of intertextual themes is consistent.

3. Overlooking Non-Verbal Intertextuality

Challenge: Focusing solely on written text while ignoring layout, images, and fonts. **Solution:** Adopt a **multimodal CDA (MCDA)** framework. Recognize that a font choice (e.g., using a font associated with 'Old English' in a modern document) is an intertextual act that evokes tradition and authority.

The Broader Implications for Social Change

The technical study of intertextuality within Critical Discourse Analysis is not merely an academic exercise. It has profound implications for how we understand social change. When we analyze how texts are produced and transformed, we gain insight into the **mechanisms of power**. We see how ideologies are naturalized—made to seem like 'common sense'—through repeated intertextual reinforcement.

By deconstructing these links, CDA provides a tool for **emancipatory critique**. It allows citizens and researchers to see through the 'interdiscursive smoke' of modern communications, whether in politics, corporate branding, or information technology. Understanding that the way we speak is always a negotiation with how others have spoken before is the first step toward reclaiming agency in a world increasingly dominated by complex, opaque discursive structures. As the network of global genres continues to expand through digital media, the rigor of Fairclough's models and the precision of intertextual analysis remain more relevant than ever for navigating the semiotic landscape of the 21st century.

Tags: [#Critical Discourse Analysis](#) [#Intertextuality](#) [#Norman Fairclough](#) [#Sociolinguistics](#) [#Discourse Practice](#)

