

The Mechanics of Neoliberal Hegemony: A Technical Analysis of Global Policy and the Construction of Consent

Published: February 23, 2026 | Index ID: #208

Theoretical Foundations: Defining Neoliberalism as a Political-Economic Project

Neoliberalism is frequently mischaracterized as a simple return to classical **laissez-faire** economics. However, a technical analysis reveals it to be a sophisticated political project designed to re-establish the conditions for capital accumulation and to restore the power of economic elites. Unlike classical liberalism, which advocated for a state that simply stayed out of the market, neoliberalism requires a proactive state that actively constructs and maintains market frameworks. This process, often referred to as **hegemony**, involves the internalisation of market logic into every facet of human life, from corporate governance to individual self-conception.

The core of neoliberal hegemony lies in the **Construction of Consent**. As theorized by thinkers like David Harvey and Antonio Gramsci, hegemony is not maintained through coercion alone. While the state retains a monopoly on the legitimate use of physical force, its primary tool for stability is the production of a "common sense" that aligns the interests of the ruling class with the perceived interests of the broader population. In the neoliberal era, this common sense revolves around the efficiency of markets, the necessity of competition, and the primacy of individual responsibility over collective welfare.

The Dual Pillars: Liberal Institutionalism vs. Neoliberalism

To understand the current global order, it is essential to distinguish between **Liberal Institutionalism** and **Neoliberalism**. While they share a historical lineage, their operational mechanics differ significantly:

- Liberal Institutionalism:** Focuses on the role of international organizations (UN, WTO, IMF) in facilitating cooperation between states. It posits that institutions can mitigate the effects of anarchy in the international system by reducing transaction costs and increasing transparency.
- Neoliberalism (Economic):** Specifically targets the internal restructuring of the state to facilitate market expansion. It emphasizes deregulation, privatization, and the retrenchment of the welfare state.

The Technical Mechanics of Hegemonic Transformation

The transition to a neoliberal hegemonic order typically involves a multi-staged technical workflow. This is not merely a policy shift but a fundamental re-engineering of the socio-economic landscape. The following table outlines the comparative shifts from the Keynesian/Social Democratic era to the Neoliberal era.

Feature	Keynesian Social Democracy	Neoliberal Hegemony
Economic Goal	Full employment and social stability.	Price stability (inflation targeting) and capital mobility.
State Role	Direct provider of public goods and infrastructure.	Facilitator of market competition and enforcer of property rights.
Labor Market	Strong collective bargaining and unionization.	Flexibilization, individual contracts, and de-unionization.
Financial Policy	Strict capital controls and regulated banking.	Financialization and the removal of barriers to capital flow.
Social Contract	Universal welfare and social safety nets.	Targeted assistance, workfare, and private insurance.

Financialized Accumulation and Class Ideology

One of the most critical technical components of neoliberalism is **Financialization**. This refers to the increasing role of financial motives, financial markets, financial actors, and financial institutions in the operation of the domestic and international economies. Under neoliberal hegemony, the metrics of success shift from long-term productive investment to short-term shareholder value. This transition is supported by a specific **class ideology** that justifies the upward redistribution of wealth as a reward for "innovation" and "risk-taking."

Technically, this is achieved through:

1. Corporate Governance Reform: Prioritizing dividends and stock buybacks over employee wages or R&D.
2. Debt-Driven Consumption: Replacing stagnating real wages with easy access to credit, thereby tying the working class to the stability of the financial system.
3. Asset Price Inflation: Policies that favor the growth of real estate and stock market values, benefiting those who already own assets.

Managing Democracy and Managing Dissent

A recurring challenge for neoliberal hegemony is the tension between democratic demands and market imperatives. When the preferences of the electorate clash with the requirements of the "global market" (e.g., demands for higher taxes on capital or increased social spending), neoliberalism employs several strategies to **manage dissent** and ensure the continuity of its policy framework.

The Concept of Post-Democratization

Post-Democratization describes a state where the formal structures of democracy (elections, free speech) remain intact, but the actual power to influence policy has been transferred to a small elite of technocrats and corporate interests. In this environment, public discourse is carefully managed to exclude radical alternatives to the neoliberal consensus. Political parties across the spectrum often converge on a single economic path, leaving voters to choose between competing "management styles" rather than fundamentally different visions of society.

Techniques for the Organization of Consent

The organization of consent is a technical process involving several discursive and institutional layers:

- The TINA Narrative: The "There Is No Alternative" (TINA) mantra, popularized by Margaret Thatcher, serves

to frame neoliberal policies as objective economic necessities rather than political choices.

- **Crisis Exploitation:** Using economic shocks (inflationary spirals, debt crises) to implement unpopular structural adjustment programs. This is often termed "shock therapy."
- **Institutional Framing:** Embedding neoliberal rules into international treaties and constitutional amendments (e.g., balanced budget requirements) that are difficult for future democratic governments to overturn.

Case Study: The Chilean Experiment and the Rise of the Chicago Boys

The implementation of neoliberalism in Chile during the 1970s serves as a primary technical case study of how hegemony can be established through a combination of coercion and radical economic restructuring. Following the 1973 military coup, a group of economists trained at the University of Chicago (the "Chicago Boys") implemented a total overhaul of the Chilean economy.

Technical Steps Taken:

- **Immediate Privatization:** Hundreds of state-owned enterprises were sold to private interests, often at significantly undervalued prices.
- **Shock Stabilization:** Drastic cuts in government spending and the elimination of price controls to curb hyperinflation, despite the massive increase in unemployment and poverty.
- **Institutionalization:** The 1980 Constitution was designed to protect private property rights and limit the state's ability to intervene in the economy, effectively "locking in" neoliberalism even after the return to civilian rule.

The Chilean case demonstrates that while neoliberalism often presents itself as a champion of "liberty," its historical implementation has frequently relied on the suspension of democratic liberties to impose market logic by force before consent could be manufactured through subsequent economic growth or media control.

Neoliberal Policies and Human Rights: A Technical Conflict

There is an inherent structural tension between **Neoliberal Policies** and the international **Human Rights** framework. While neoliberalism emphasizes civil and political rights (specifically property rights and freedom of contract), it often undermines economic, social, and cultural rights (such as the right to healthcare, education, and social security).

The Primacy of Property Rights

In a neoliberal technical framework, property rights are viewed as the fundamental prerequisite for all other freedoms. This leads to a hierarchy of rights where:

1. The rights of investors and corporations are protected through international arbitration (e.g., ISDS clauses in trade agreements).
2. Social rights are relegated to the status of "wants" or "privileges" that must be earned through the market.

Welfare Retrenchment and Wealth Shares

Technical data on **welfare retrenchments** shows a clear correlation with the rise of neoliberal hegemony. By reducing the "social wage" (public services provided by the state), the system forces individuals to seek these services through the private market. This not only opens new avenues for capital accumulation but also increases the precariousness of the workforce, making them more compliant with market demands.

Operational Analysis: Metrics of Neoliberal Hegemony

To evaluate the depth of neoliberal integration within a nation-state, technical writers and analysts look at several

key indicators. These metrics provide a quantitative view of how far a society has moved toward the neoliberal ideal.

Indicator	Measurement Objective	Hegemonic Target
Gini Coefficient	Measure of income inequality.	Typically increases as wealth concentrates at the top.
Privatization Ratio	Percentage of GDP generated by private vs. public entities.	High ratio indicates successful marketization of state assets.
Trade Openness	(Exports + Imports) / GDP.	High ratio indicates integration into the global hegemonic order.
Union Density	Percentage of the workforce in collective bargaining units.	Low density indicates successful labor flexibilization.
Central Bank Independence	Autonomy of the central bank from legislative control.	High independence ensures priority of inflation targeting over employment.

The Global Critique: Hegemonic Crisis and Post-9/11 Realities

The stability of neoliberal hegemony has faced significant challenges in the 21st century. The 2008 financial crisis exposed the systemic risks of financialization, leading to a "crisis of legitimacy" for the neoliberal project. However, instead of a shift back to social democracy, many states responded by reinvesting in hegemonic power through **authoritarian neoliberalism**.

In the post-9/11 era, the American hegemon increasingly used its military and geopolitical power to enforce the global neoliberal order. This period saw the rise of "securitized neoliberalism," where the management of dissent was increasingly integrated into counter-terrorism and national security frameworks. The "Losers" of globalization—those in deindustrialized regions or the informal proletariat—began to withdraw their consent, leading to the rise of populist movements that challenge the neoliberal consensus from both the left and the right.

Revisionist States and the Fragmentation of Hegemony

The rise of revisionist states like China offers a technical alternative to the Washington Consensus. By utilizing a model of **State-Led Capitalism**, these nations demonstrate that economic growth is possible without total adherence to neoliberal prescriptions regarding privatization and deregulation. This creates a "hegemonic crisis" where the universalizing claims of neoliberalism are no longer accepted as the only path to development.

Strategic Implementation: Overcoming Neoliberal Hegemony

For policymakers or social movements seeking to move beyond neoliberal hegemony, the process requires more than just winning elections. It necessitates a technical deconstruction of the existing hegemonic apparatus.

Step-by-Step Procedure for Counter-Hegemonic Transition

1. Re-claiming the State: Transforming the state from a market facilitator back into an instrument for democratic social planning.
2. De-Financialization: Implementing strict capital controls, taxing financial transactions (Tobin Tax), and promoting public banking.
3. De-Commodification: Removing essential services like healthcare, housing, and education from the market and providing them as universal rights.
4. Democratizing the Economy: Supporting worker cooperatives, participatory budgeting, and community-

owned energy grids to break the concentration of private economic power.

5. Narrative Reconstruction: Developing a new "common sense" that prioritizes ecological sustainability and social solidarity over competitive individualism.

Troubleshooting Challenges in Transition

Transitions away from neoliberalism often face technical failure modes:

- Capital Flight: Investors withdrawing funds to punish non-neoliberal governments. Solution: Implementation of capital controls and fostering domestic productive investment.
- Institutional Inertia: Civil servants and technocrats trained in neoliberal logic resisting new policy directions. Solution: Comprehensive administrative reform and the appointment of experts with alternative economic frameworks.
- Media Hostility: Concentrated media ownership attacking counter-hegemonic narratives. Solution: Supporting independent media ecosystems and public broadcasting.

The endurance of neoliberal hegemony is a testament to its ability to organize consent even in the face of clear economic failures. It operates not just as a set of policies, but as a totalizing framework that shapes our understanding of what is possible. Overcoming this hegemony requires a sophisticated understanding of its technical mechanics—from the way financial markets operate to the way dissent is managed in the public sphere. By analyzing neoliberalism as a class ideology supported by specific institutional structures, it becomes possible to identify the points of leverage where the construction of consent can be challenged. The future of global democracy depends on the ability to subordinate the economy once again to democratic power, ensuring that human rights and social welfare are no longer secondary to the requirements of capital accumulation.

As we move deeper into an era characterized by climate crisis and increasing geopolitical instability, the rigidities of the neoliberal hegemonic order will be tested further. Whether the system evolves into a more authoritarian form of market management or gives way to a more inclusive, democratic, and sustainable global order remains the central technical and political question of our time. The task for technical writers, strategists, and citizens alike is to demystify the logic of hegemony and provide the tools for building an alternative social premise.

Tags: #Neoliberalism #Hegemony #Political Science #Global Economics #Human Rights

